

Manchester Cancer Haemato-oncology
Pathway

Guidelines for the management of Acute
Myeloid Leukaemia

Dr Rowena Thomas Dewing
Dr Eleni Tholouli
Dr Mike Dennis

Version 2.0 June 2015
Review date June 2018

Contents

1	Introduction.....	3
2	Diagnosis and Investigations.....	3
2.1	Classification of AML.....	3
2.2	Morphology.....	6
2.3	Immunophenotyping.....	7
2.4	Cytogenetics.....	8
2.5	Molecular genetics.....	9
2.6	Assessment of Comorbidity.....	10
2.7	Other initial interventions.....	10
3	First line therapy.....	10
3.1	Current Clinical trials.....	10
3.2	Standard therapy.....	11
3.3	Management of elderly patients.....	12
4	The Role of Stem Cell Transplantation.....	13
5	Relapse.....	14
6.1	Antibiotic prophylaxis.....	16
6.2	Antifungal prophylaxis.....	16
6.3	Antiviral prophylaxis.....	16
6.4	Pneumocystis pneumonia prophylaxis.....	16
6.6	Tumour lysis syndrome.....	17
6.7	Blood Transfusion.....	18
6.8	Neutropenic sepsis.....	18
6.9	Antifungal therapy.....	18
6.10	Dietary advice.....	19
7	Special situations.....	19
7.1	Hyperleucocytosis.....	19
7.2	CNS disease.....	19
7.3	Extramedullary Disease.....	20
7.4	Pregnancy.....	20
8	Acute Promyelocytic Leukaemia.....	21
9	References.....	24

1. Network guidelines introduction

These guidelines were drafted and agreed by the working group of AML for the Manchester Cancer Haemato-oncology Pathway Board. They have in part been derived from the current BCSH and ELN guidelines on the management of AML in adults, further developed to incorporate recent clinical data and trials- to be specifically relevant for the Manchester Haemato-oncology Pathway.

Appropriate setting for therapy

Recent recommendations from the National institute for Clinical Excellence should be followed (<http://www.nice.org.uk>). Patients should be managed by a multi-disciplinary team serving a population of at least 500,000, with induction therapy only carried out in centres treating at least five patients per year with induction chemotherapy with curative intent.

Adolescent patients

All patients aged 16-18 should be referred to the regional adolescent unit at Christie NHSFT for management, patients aged 19- 24 should be made aware of the adolescent facility at diagnosis although may elect for shared care, or for their primary treatment centre to be at another level 2 unit.

2. Diagnosis of Acute Myeloid Leukaemia

2.1 Classification

The World Health Organisation (WHO) System for the diagnosis and classification of AML (Jaffe et al 2001) superseded the modified FAB classification (Bennett et al 1985) and its use was proposed in the 2006 BCSH guidelines (Milligan et al 2006) The WHO System has subsequently been revised (Vardiman et al 2009). The recent WHO classification reflects the fact that an increasing number of acute leukaemias can be categorized based upon their underlying cytogenetic or molecular genetic abnormalities and that these genetic changes form clinico-pathologic genetic entities.

Acute myeloid leukaemia and related precursor neoplasms, and acute leukaemias of ambiguous lineage (WHO 2008)

Acute myeloid leukemia with recurrent genetic abnormalities

- AML with t(8;21)(q22;q22); RUNX1-RUNX1T1
- AML with inv(16)(p13.1q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22); CBFβ-MYH11
- APL with t(15;17)(q22;q12); PML-RARA*
- AML with t(9;11)(p22;q23); MLLT3-MLL
- AML with t(6;9)(p23;q34); DEK-NUP214
- AML with inv(3)(q21q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21;q26.2); RPN1-EVI1
- AML (megakaryoblastic) with t(1;22)(p13;q13); RBM15-MKL1
- Provisional entity: AML with mutated NPM1
- Provisional entity: AML with mutated CEBPA

Acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes†

Therapy-related myeloid neoplasms§

Acute myeloid leukemia, not otherwise specified (NOS)

- Acute myeloid leukemia with minimal differentiation
- Acute myeloid leukemia without maturation
- Acute myeloid leukemia with maturation
- Acute myelomonocytic leukemia
- Acute monoblastic/monocytic leukemia
- Acute erythroid leukemia
 - Pure erythroid leukemia
 - Erythroleukemia, erythroid/myeloid
- Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia
- Acute basophilic leukemia
- Acute panmyelosis with myelofibrosis (syn.: acute myelofibrosis; acute myelosclerosis)

Myeloid sarcoma (syn.: extramedullary myeloid tumor; granulocytic sarcoma; chloroma)

Myeloid proliferations related to Down syndrome

- Transient abnormal myelopoiesis (syn.: transient myeloproliferative disorder)
- Myeloid leukemia associated with Down syndrome

Blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm

Acute leukemias of ambiguous lineage

- Acute undifferentiated leukemia
- Mixed phenotype acute leukemia with t(9;22)(q34;q11.2); BCR-ABL1^{II}
- Mixed phenotype acute leukemia with t(v;11q23); MLL rearranged
- Mixed phenotype acute leukemia, B/myeloid, NOS
- Mixed phenotype acute leukemia, T/myeloid, NOS
- Provisional entity: Natural killer (NK)-cell lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma

For a diagnosis of AML, a marrow blast count of > 20% is required, except for AML with the recurrent genetic abnormalities t(15;17), t(8;21), inv(16) or t(16;16) and some cases of erythroleukemia.

* Other recurring translocations involving RARA should be reported accordingly: for example, AML with t(11;17)(q23;q12); ZBTB16-RARA; AML with t(11;17)(q13;q12); NUMA1-RARA; AML with t(5;17)(q35;q12); NPM1-RARA; or AML with STAT5B-RARA (the latter having a normal chromosome 17 on conventional cytogenetic analysis).

†Other translocations involving MLL should be reported accordingly: for example, AML with t(6;11)(q27;q23); MLLT4-MLL; AML with t(11;19)(q23;p13.3); MLL-MLLT1; AML with t(11;19)(q23;p13.1); MLL-ELL; AML with t(10;11)(p12;q23); MLLT10-MLL.

‡More than 20% blood or marrow blasts AND any of the following: previous history of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), or myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasm (MDS/MPN); myelodysplasia-related cytogenetic abnormality (see below); multilineage dysplasia; AND absence of both prior cytotoxic therapy for unrelated disease and aforementioned recurring genetic abnormalities; cytogenetic abnormalities sufficient to diagnose AML with myelodysplasia-related changes are:

- Complex karyotype (defined as 3 or more chromosomal abnormalities).
- Unbalanced changes: -7 or del(7q); -5 or del(5q); i(17q) or t(17p); -13 or del(13q); del(11q); del(12p) or t(12p); del(9q); idic(X)(q13).
- Balanced changes: t(11;16)(q23;p13.3); t(3;21)(q26.2;q22.1); t(1;3)(p36.3;q21.1); t(2;11)(p21;q23); t(5;12)(q33;p12); t(5;7)(q33;q11.2); t(5;17)(q33;p13); t(5;10)(q33;q21); t(3;5)(q25;q34).

§Cytotoxic agents implicated in therapy-related hematologic neoplasms: alkylating agents; ionizing radiation therapy; topoisomerase II inhibitors; others.

|| BCR-ABL1–positive leukemia may present as mixed phenotype acute leukemia, but should be treated as BCR-ABL1–positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia.

Diagnostic Procedures

2.2 Morphology

All patients should have a bone marrow aspirate and trephine biopsy. These may be omitted if the peripheral blast count is high and the patient is for palliative treatment only.

Blood and Marrow spreads should be stained using a May-Grunwald-Giemsa or a Wright-Giemsa Stain. The marrow slides should be particulate, and a 500 cell count performed. A 200 cell count should be performed on peripheral blood spreads. For a diagnosis of AML a blast count of 20% or more is required, except for AML with t(15;17), t(8;21), inv(16) or t(16;16) and some cases of Erythroid Leukaemia. Myeloblasts, monoblasts and megakaryoblasts are all counted as blasts. In AML with monocytic or myelomonocytic differentiation, monoblasts and promonocytes, are counted as blasts, but abnormal monocytes should not be included. Multilineage dysplasia is defined as >= 50% dysplastic cells in two of the erythroid, megakaryocytic or granulocytic/monocytic lineages.

A trephine biopsy is essential in cases of a dry or aparticle tap, and also if the aspirate is dilute. The trephine may reveal fibrosis and/or multilineage dysplasia where the aspirate is inadequate. Acute panmyelosis is a trephine diagnosis, requiring antibodies that identify CD34, MPO, glycophorin and megakaryocyte antigens.

2.4 Immunophenotyping

The absence of lymphoid specific antigens cCD3 and cCD79a should be confirmed. Immunophenotyping is essential to identify AML cases that are negative for cytochemical MPO, such as FAB AML M0 (Bennett et al 1985) and AML M7 and also to identify acute leukaemias of ambiguous lineage.¹ These comprise those cases that show no evidence of lineage differentiation, acute undifferentiated leukaemia (AUL), or those cases with a mixed phenotype. Aberrant expression of lymphoid markers such as CD7, CD19 or CD2 is a well recognised finding in AML, as is high CD33 but low CD34 expression.

Diagnosis of acute myeloid leukemia (AML)*

Precursor stage CD34, CD38, CD117, CD133, HLA-DR
Granulocytic markers CD13, CD15, CD16, CD33, CD65, cMPO
Monocytic markers NSE, CD11c, CD14, CD64, lysozyme, CD4, CD11b, CD36
Megakaryocytic markers CD41 (glycoprotein IIb/IIIa), CD61 (glycoprotein IIIa), CD42 (glycoprotein 1b)
Erythroid marker CD235a (glycophorin A)

Diagnosis of mixed phenotype acute leukemia (MPAL)†

Myeloid lineage MPO or evidence of monocytic differentiation (at least 2 of the following: NSE, CD11c, CD14, CD64, lysozyme)
B-lineage CD19 (strong) with at least one of the following: CD79a, cCD22, CD10, or CD19 (weak) with at least 2 of the following: CD79a, cCD22, CD10
T-lineage cCD3, or surface CD3

* For the diagnosis of AML, the table provides a list of selected markers rather than a mandatory marker panel.

†Requirements for assigning more than one lineage to a single blast population adopted from the WHO classification. Note that the requirement for assigning myeloid lineage in MPAL is more stringent than for establishing a diagnosis of AML. Note also that MPAL can be diagnosed if there are separate populations of lymphoid and myeloid blasts.

2.4 Cytogenetics

All patients should have conventional cytogenetics performed. Bone marrow samples should be sent to:-

Oncology Cytogenetics

Pathology Department
Christie Hospital NHS Trust
Wilmslow Road
Withington
Manchester M20 4BX
Telephone 0161 446 3165

Seven recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities are recognized in the WHO category “AML with recurrent genetic abnormalities” and certain cytogenetic abnormalities are sufficient to establish a diagnosis of “AML with myelodysplasia related features” if the blast count is over 20%. Cytogenetics analysis may identify patients with favourable and unfavourable prognosis (Grimwade et al 2010) and the karyotype of leukaemic cells is the strongest factor in determining response to induction chemotherapy and survival (Grimwade et al 2001).

Younger adult patients are usually categorized into favourable, intermediate or adverse prognosis groups. Complex karyotype determines a consistently poor outcome. This has been redefined by MRC studies as more than 3 chromosomal abnormalities (Grimwade et al 2010), and by the WHO classification as 3 or more (Vardiman et al 2008). Additional complexity in association with t(8;21), t(16;16) or inv(16), and t(15;17) probably does not adversely affect outcome. Also excluded are cases with other recurring genetic abnormalities in the new WHO classification, such as AML with t(9;11), AML with t(6;9), as these constitute separate entities.

2.5 Molecular Genetics

Molecular diagnosis on marrow and blood specimens, by reverse transcriptase-PCR (RT-PCR) can be useful in certain circumstances. Recurring gene fusions such as RUNX1-RUNX1T1, CBFβ-MYH11, MLLT3-MLL, DEK-NUP214 may still be detected even though chromosome morphology is poor, or may be requested when the chromosomal abnormality suspected on morphology is absent (Mrozek et al 2008),(Grimwade et al 2002).

AML with mutations in NPM1 or CEBPA have been incorporated into the WHO classification as provisional entries (Swedlow et al 2008). Screening for these 2 markers as well as FLT3 mutations should be performed in patients with cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML), even outside clinical trials, as this alters the management of these patients.

CN-AML patients with internal tandem duplications of the FLT-3 gene (FLT3-ITD) have a worse prognosis than those cases without (Kottaridis et al 2001), (Frohling et al 2002). The presence of NPM1 mutations in CN-AML has been associated with better CR rates and RFS (Dohner et al 2005), (Thiede et al 2006). The CR and RFS of patients with CN-AML and the combination of NPM1 mutation without FLT3-ITD has been likened to that of patients with t(8;21) and inv(16). A similar survival outcome has been reported in patients with mutations in CEBPA (Schlenk et al 2008), (Frohling et al 2004).

The European Leukaemia Net proposed a standardized reporting system for correlating genetic findings with clinical outcome, allowing for better comparison of data among studies. This includes data from cytogenetic analysis and from mutation analyses of the NPM1, CEBPA, FLT3 genes.

Standardized reporting for correlation of cytogenetic and molecular genetic data in AML with clinical data

- Favorable
 - t(8;21)(q22;q22); RUNX1-RUNX1T1
 - inv(16)(p13.1;q22) or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22); CBFβ-MYH11
 - Mutated NPM1 without FLT3-ITD (normal karyotype)
 - Mutated CEBPA (normal karyotype)
- Intermediate-I^{*}
 - Mutated NPM1 and FLT3-ITD (normal karyotype)
 - Wild-type NPM1 and FLT3-ITD (normal karyotype)
 - Wild-type NPM1 without FLT3-ITD (normal karyotype)
- Intermediate-II
 - t(9;11)(p22;q23); MLLT3-MLL
 - Cytogenetic abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse[†]
- Adverse
 - inv(3)(q21;q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21;q26.2); RPN1-EVI1
 - t(6;9)(p23;q34); DEK-NUP214
 - t(v;11)(v;q23); MLL rearranged
 - 5 or del(5q); –7; abn(17p); complex karyotype[‡]

Includes all AMLs with normal karyotype except for those included in the favourable subgroup; most of these cases are associated with poor prognosis, but they should be reported separately because of the potential different response to treatment.

[†]For most abnormalities, adequate numbers have not been studied to draw firm conclusions regarding their prognostic significance.

[‡]Three or more chromosome abnormalities in the absence of one of the WHO designated recurring translocations or inversions, that is, t(15;17), t(8;21), inv(16) or t(16;16), t(9;11), t(v;11)(v;q23), t(6;9), inv(3) or t(3;3);

Molecular Screening

Trial patients

Patients in NCRN AML trials (AML18 and AML 19) will have molecular screening of presentation BM/PB carried out routinely.

Non trial patients

It is recommended that patients with APL and CBF positive AML^{*} should have molecular screening of diagnostic BM/PB, including KIT mutations in CBF AML. The identification of a specific gene transcript would allow subsequent MRD monitoring. Patients with cytogenetically normal AML, who are candidates for allografting, should be routinely screened for FLT 3 and NPM1 as this would alter management.

* The presence of t(8;21)(q22;q22) and inv(16)(p13q22)/t(16;16)(p13;q22) and/or the corresponding molecular rearrangements RUNX1/RUNX1T1 and CBFβ/MYH11 are collectively referred to as core binding factor (CBF) AML

2.6 Assessment of Comorbidity

Increasing age is an adverse prognostic factor (Juliusson et al 2009), even after accounting for disease specific prognostic factors, and performance status. Despite this, age in itself is not the most important factor in determining treatment related mortality, and so a careful evaluation of co-morbidities should be undertaken, so that patients suitable for intensive treatment may receive it. In addition to Medical History, Assessment of Performance Status, and Co-morbidities, other mandatory investigations for patients undergoing intensive chemotherapy include Biochemistry and urate levels, coagulation tests and urinalysis, chest film, ECG, and ECHO/MUGA, Virology for Hepatitis B C and HIV-1.

2.7 Additional investigation

Pregnancy testing and semen cryopreservation should be considered in all potentially fertile patients. HLA typing and CMV Serology should be performed in patients considered suitable for allogeneic transplantation.

First line therapy

3.1 CLINICAL TRIAL ENTRY

There is evidence of improved outcome for patients who have entered into clinical studies and increasingly in the management of acute leukaemia, there are further investigations which can improve diagnosis, stratification, monitoring and access to new agents which strongly support this as a potential standard of care.

AML 19

Patients aged 16-60 should be considered for trial entry into the AML 19. Please note that patients older than the age of 60, if considered fit for a very intensive therapy can be entered into this study also.

AML18

Patients aged 60-80, should be considered for entry into the AML 18- this is for patients considered fit for intensive chemotherapy.

Li1

Elderly patients who are not considered fit for intensive chemotherapy should be considered for entry into Li1 (less intensive 1)

Other trials can be accessed via:-

[http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/find-a-clinical-trial/clinical-trialssearch?f\[0\]=field_trial_status%253A4222&populate=Acute%20myeloid%20leukemia%20%28AML%29](http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/find-a-clinical-trial/clinical-trialssearch?f[0]=field_trial_status%253A4222&populate=Acute%20myeloid%20leukemia%20%28AML%29)

3.2 Intensive induction chemotherapy

Should consist of three days of Daunorubicin with cytarabine (DA-Daunorubicin 60mg/m² on days 1,3 and 5 with Cytarabine 100mg/m² 12-hourly by IV push on days 1-10). Alternative anthracyclines have been compared including idarubicin (Vogler et al 92) and mitoxantrone (Arlin leuk 90) at comparable doses with no improvement in overall survival. High doses of cytarabine with daunorubicin have also been studied- including by the SWOG group (Weick Blood 1996) with no increase in CR but a demonstrable increase in toxicity. Priming with growth factors at induction should only be undertaken as part of a clinical trial as no studies have convincingly influenced OS (Thomas leuk 2007). Recent data is available suggesting improved CR and survival rates with higher doses of daunorubicin (Fernandez et al 2009), however the survival is comparable to MRC outcomes.

Mylotarg

Results of the AML 15 and 16 trials have indicated that the use of Mylotarg as part of induction chemotherapy does reduce the relapse risk in patients with CBF leukaemia and to a lesser extent in standard risk disease, where there is a non statistically significant trend to benefit (Burnett et al JCO 2011 and 2013). There are now at least 5 randomised studies published. As Mylotarg remains unlicensed this approach should therefore only continue in the context of a clinical trial.

Post remission therapy

Historically most units have the greatest experience in using the MRC standard consolidation:- MACE (Amsacrine 100mg/m² 1 hour infusion daily days 1-5, Cytarabine 200mg/m² daily by continuous IV infusion days 1-5, Etoposide 100mg/m² daily by 4 hour infusion days 1-5) then MiDAC (Mitoxantrone 10mg/m² by 1 hour IV infusion days 1-5, cytarabine 1.0g./m² 12 hourly by 2 hour infusion days 1-3). A landmark study by the CALGB has demonstrated that 4 cycles of HDAC- [3g vs. 400mg vs. 100mg] leads to a survival advantage in patients with CBF leukaemia (Mayer et al 1994). The AML 15 study has yet to demonstrate significant difference between the MRC standard and varying doses of HDAC. Variable availability of amsacrine and trial evolution has now established the standard consolidation as HDAC 3g/m² BD on days 1, 3 and 5 (if under 60). HDAC 1.5g/m² BD on days 1, 3 and 5 (if over 60). The optimal number of cycles of therapy continues to be investigated within clinical trials, the current published evidence suggests this consists of 4 cycles in total. Patients with poor risk disease have a dismal outcome with conventional consolidation (Bloomfield et al 1998) and should be considered for allogeneic transplantation.

3.3 Management of older patients

The prognosis worsens with advancing years and such patients are more likely to demonstrate resistance and suffer death to initial therapy (Appelbaum et al 2006).

Several studies however confirm a better quality of life and survival advantage for induction therapy compared to supportive care (Juliussen et al 2009).

Patients up to age 80

Assuming a performance status of up to 2 and no significant comorbidity or cytogenetic complexity standard induction therapy can be undertaken with an expectation of a CR rate of 50% and a treatment related mortality of up to 15% (Appelbaum et al 2006). There is limited data to properly evaluate the effect of post remission therapy. The MRC AML 11 study confirmed no advantage to a total of 4 versus 3 cycles of therapy (Goldstone et al 2001) thus shortened consolidation is standard. The overwhelming majority of these patients will relapse and should be evaluated for alternative therapy such as consolidation such as reduced intensity allogeneic transplantation.

Patients 'over 80' or younger with comorbidity

This group also includes those over 65 with performance status 2/3 and significant comorbidity. The MRC AML 14 (Burnett et al 2007) study has demonstrated a survival advantage for Low dose cytarabine 20mg BD SC for 10 days, repeated every 28 days, when compared to hydroxycarbamide and should be considered the standard of care for such patients. Although there was no benefit for patients with adverse cytogenetics- these patients should be considered for investigational approaches.

Azacitidine

A phase 3 randomised trial (Fenaux et al 2009) has demonstrated a survival advantage for patient with int-2 and high risk MDS- a third of these patients now have AML as defined by WHO and may have a survival benefit over conventional care (2 year OS 50 vs 16%)- although these may be a particular group of patients with non proliferative disease. Recent data has been published demonstrating a benefit in patients with blasts >30% compared to standard therapy although this is not yet reflected in the EMEA license (Dombret et al Blood 2015).

3.3 Primary refractory Disease Therapy

Failure to respond to the first cycle of induction therapy is a major predictor of a poor outcome (Schlenk et al 2003) and conventional chemotherapy then offers virtually no prospect of long term DFS. Consideration of the patients age, response to initial therapy, nature of initial therapy should be considered. In general terms escalation of treatment is indicated for patients under the age of 60. There are numerous salvage regimes which have been examined one example includes FLAG IDA (Fludarabine 30mg/m² on days 2-6, Cytarabine 2g/m² over 4 hours on days 2-6, Idarubicin 8mg/m² days 4-6, GCSF sc od days 1-7), published findings suggest remission can be achieved in up to 50% of such patients, and may be reasonable if there is a potential for allogeneic transplantation. Patients who are not suitable for allogeneic transplantation should be considered for investigational therapy of novel agents.

4. Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation (SCT)

Allogeneic SCT as a post remission therapy is associated with the lowest rates of relapse. It combines chemo/radiotherapy with immunotherapy through a potent graft versus leukaemia (GVL) effect. However the benefits of allogeneic SCT have been offset by the high non-relapse mortality (NRM) of the procedure. Although single prospective trials have not shown a significant benefit in overall survival (OS) of patients undergoing allo SCT in first complete remission a meta-analysis of clinical trials that assigned allo SCT versus alternative consolidation therapies on an intent-to-treat donor versus no-donor basis show that allo SCT offers significant benefit for patients with intermediate and high risk AML (Cornelissen et al 2007). Therefore allo SCT may specially be applied to patients with a high risk of relapse and a relatively low risk of NRM. Thus for individual decision making, it is important to take into account both the disease risk, as defined by the cytogenetic and molecular genetic profile of the leukaemia and the risk associated with the transplant procedure as assessed by the co-morbidity score and other transplant-related risk indices.

General recommendations for all AML patients undergoing SCT

- All patients of childbearing age undergoing SCT should be offered the opportunity of preserving fertility prior to treatment, unless there are overriding clinical reasons not to do so. Contact Cheryl Fitzgerald at St Mary's Hospital tel. 0161 276 6430.
- Patients who are potential candidates for allo SCT should be discussed with and referred to the Regional Transplant Centres (Manchester Royal Infirmary and Christie Hospital) and a donor search initiated as soon as possible.

Recommendations for AML in first CR

Trial patients

- For younger patients entered in AML 19, patients designated to have a high risk score should be offered an allo SCT either from a sibling or unrelated donor.
- Older patients treated in AML 18 who have an HLA matched donor (sibling or unrelated) are eligible to receive a non myeloablative allograft (reduced intensity conditioning, RIC allograft).

Non-Trial Patients

- Good cytogenetic risk AML [t(15;17), t(8;21) and inv (16)]: allogeneic SCT is not indicated in this group of patients.
- Intermediate cytogenetic risk: allogeneic SCT is recommended if a matched sibling donor is available and those who are FLT 3 mutated. The sub-group of patients who are FLT 3 wild type and NPM 1 mutated have an outcome

similar to good risk patients and should not be transplanted although this remains controversial (Schlenk et al 2008).

- Poor risk AML: this group comprises patients with poor cytogenetic risk, WCC >100 and elderly patients (> 60 years). Allogeneic SCT should be offered to this group of patients; a search for a donor, either sibling or unrelated, should be initiated as early as possible.
- Full intensity conditioning SCT is generally recommended for patients up to the age of 40 years and non-myeloablative (RIC) SCT for those 40 years and older as this results in superior OS due to lower TRM (AML 15 trial data). Younger patients with significant co-morbidities should also receive a RIC SCT.

Refractory and relapsed AML

Patients failing induction chemotherapy may be considered candidates for an allogeneic transplant. Allogeneic SCT offers the best prospect of a cure for patients in 2nd or higher remission.

Autograft

There is currently no role for autografting in AML.

5. Relapse

In general the prognosis for patients who relapse is poor irrespective of therapy. Consideration should be given to the patients previous treatment, age, performance status, karyotype and specifically the duration of CR1. Patients who are not fit for allogeneic will generally not be suitable for intensive salvage therapy.

5.1 Salvage chemotherapy

If CR1 is less than six months

Consider for palliative care or experimental therapy. There are usually options of phase I or II trials, for such patients.

If CR1 is greater than six months, consideration should be given to high dose ARA-C based salvage chemotherapy eg. FLAG or FLAG-Ida with consolidation of stem cell transplantation. Patients who had durable remissions of greater than 1 year can reasonably receive reinduction therapy equivalent to their initial therapy eg ADE, although this has never been examined prospectively in a randomised trial. Other agents including clofarabine (Kantarjian et al 2003) and mylotarg (Sievers et al 2001) have demonstrable activity in the relapse setting although further investigation is required to outline if they offer an advantage over more established salvage regimens.

Patients that achieve complete remission should receive allogeneic transplantation. The conditioning can be reduced intensity or full intensity transplant according to patients' age and performance status. An investigational approach for those with refractory disease that has demonstrated limited efficacy in multi centre studies includes- intensive chemotherapy with sequential RIC allograft (Schmid et al 2006) however such an approach requires validation and should ideally be undertaken as part of a clinical trial protocol.

5.2 Investigational therapy

Patients who have relapsed post transplant, early post chemotherapy or are not fit for transplant may be suitable for investigational therapy.

6. Supportive care

Advances in supportive care have resulted in improvements in survival as evidenced by individuals with AML recruited to clinical trials.

The recommendations set out below offer guidance and an evidence base where available to allow local/unit policies to be developed. Individualised policies recognise the importance of identifying locally prevalent infectious organisms and drug resistance patterns.

6.1 Antibiotic prophylaxis.

The use of prophylactic antibiotics in induction chemotherapy and in neutropenic individuals undergoing consolidation chemotherapy remains controversial. The current BSCH guidelines (Milligan et al, 2006) conclude that empirical use is not recommended due to lack of evidence of a survival benefit (level IIb). The results of a large meta-analysis Cochrane review however do demonstrate that the use of prophylactic antibiotics when compared to placebo is effective in reducing overall mortality and infection related mortality in neutropenic patients (Gafter-Gvilli et al, 2005). This effect is most marked in individuals receiving quinolone antibiotics (Leibovici L et al, 2006). Recently published guidance by the European Leukemia Net recommends their use (Döhner et al, 2010).

Therefore a prophylactic quinolone antibiotic is appropriate for prophylactic use in neutropenic individuals with AML.

6.2 Antifungal prophylaxis

Fungal infections are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in the AML population, overall incidence rates of IFI were around 12% (mould 7.9% and yeast 4.4%). Death rates attributable to invasive mould or yeast infection were documented to be 38% and 35% respectively.

The use of anti-fungal prophylaxis has been shown to reduce the fungal infection related mortality when compared to placebo (Robenshtok et al, 2007). Prophylaxis with a drug active against *Aspergillus* species is to be preferred given the epidemiology of IFI in this patient group. Recent studies suggest that posaconazole may be superior (Cornely et al, 2007).

For prophylaxis an agent with activity against *Aspergillus*/mould species should be selected, many units use posaconazole tablets but if itraconazole is used the liquid formulation is preferred due to absorption issues. The initiation of prophylaxis should be in parallel with induction of cytotoxic chemotherapy in order to ensure maximal effect at time of severe neutropenia and mucosal barrier breakdown. To be administered until neutrophil recovery of $>0.5 \times 10^9/L$ for 2 consecutive days.

6.3 Anti viral prophylaxis

This is not routinely required however can be considered in individuals receiving fludarabine/clofarabine containing regimens and with previous herpetic virus reactivation.

6.4 *Pneumocystis carinii* prophylaxis

Individuals receiving fludarabine/clofarabine containing regimens should receive prophylaxis against PCP infections with either septrin with alternatives of azithromycin or dapsone if not tolerated.

6.5 Tumour lysis syndrome

Metabolic derangements can occur with tumour breakdown following the initiation of cytotoxic therapy. The tumour lysis syndrome is most commonly seen in tumours with a high proliferative rate, relatively large tumour burden and a high sensitivity to cytotoxic agents. In AML predisposing factors include high WCC, high LDH and impaired baseline creatinine. It is most commonly witnessed within 12-72 hours of initiation of chemotherapy with symptoms including nausea, vomiting, oedema, overload, congestive cardiac failure, dysrhythmias, seizures, muscle cramps and tetany. Laboratory predictors of onset include hyperkalaemia, hyperuricaemia, hypocalcaemia and hyperphosphataemia which may progress to acute renal failure.

Recombinant urate oxidase (Rasburicase®) may be chosen in preference to allopurinol in high risk patients [elevated uric acid, WCC $>50 \times 10^9/L$, LDH $>x2$ normal upper limit, aggressive cytoreduction and tumour infiltration of the kidneys] (Cairo et al 2004).

6.6 Growth factors

Use in induction regimes

The prolonged neutropenia, increased morbidity and early death rates, particularly notable in older individuals following intensive induction chemotherapy has resulted in numerous groups assessing the impact of colony stimulating factors. Various endpoints have been studied, most including survival, CR rates, reduction in period of neutropenia and length of hospital stay. The results have largely been similar with a demonstrated reduction in the period of neutropenia and a shorter duration of hospital stay but no demonstrable effect on CR rates or OS (Dombret et al 1995; Rowe et al 1995; Godwin et al 1998).

Most recently the largest body of prospective data from the MRC AML 11 and 12 trials has been reported. In a randomised controlled trial, placebo compared to the GCSF Lenograstim® commencing at day +8 following induction chemotherapy were compared. The time to neutrophil recovery was significantly quicker in the GCSF arm but there was no effect on severity or duration of infective complications and associated antibiotic use. Hospitalisation was however significantly reduced on average by 2 days and individuals proceeded to consolidation chemotherapy on average 3 days earlier. There was no overall effect on CR between the two arms; subgroup analysis however found a significantly lower CR rate in the GCSF arm for patients < 40 years (attributable to excess of induction death and resistant disease). No difference in outcome after remission or relapse rates (Wheatley et al 2009).

Support for the use of growth factors can be found in other international collaborative groups; the NCCN recommend consideration for older individuals based on the ECOG study Group results (Larson et al 2002). However the BCSH guidelines indicate routine use is not recommended (Milligan et al 2006) and ELN guidelines advocate individual use only (Dohner et al 2010).

The use of GCSF in induction chemotherapy can be recommended based on of quality of life and health economic decisions; its use is not however routine or widespread and local units should develop their own policy.

Use after consolidation chemotherapy

Two large trials evaluating the use of GCSF after consolidation chemotherapy demonstrated a decrease in the duration of neutropenia and a reduction in antibiotic therapy (Heil et al 1997; Harousseau et al 2000).

6.7 Transfusion support

General principles

It is standard practice in the UK that cellular blood products are leukodepleted. In recent years all blood products used routinely are CMV unselected. Individuals receiving fludarabine/clofarabine chemotherapy require blood products to be irradiated.

Platelet transfusion

Three randomised studies have shown no significant difference in bleeding rates for a transfusion threshold of $10 \times 10^9/L$ compared to $20 \times 10^9/L$ (Heckman et al 2007); (Rebulla et al 1997); (Zumberg et al 2002). The decision should be revised based on individual patient factors: mucosal bleeding, infection. Severe mucositis and fever when a higher threshold is appropriate. Although alloimmunization is less likely to occur with the use of leukodepleted products their presence should be investigated in the presence of a platelet refractory status and if confirmed HLA-matched platelets provided.

Red cell transfusion

There is no supportive evidence however 8g/dl is generally accepted as the transfusion trigger.

6.8. Neutropenic sepsis

Recognition and prompt treatment with broad spectrum antibiotics is essential. Each unit should have a policy document developed with the microbiology department.

6.9. Antifungal therapy

The following recommendations for the treatment of suspected and confirmed invasive fungal infection are based on the Guidelines from the First European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (Herbrecht et al 2007).

Invasive candidiasis

The shift in epidemiology towards infection with non-albicans *Candida* such as *C.glabrata* and *C.krusei* result in infections with reduced susceptibility or resistance to azole drugs. *C.glabrata* is sensitive to amphotericin and the echinocandins. *C.krusei* is sensitive to amphotericin, the echinocandins and voriconazole. For invasive candida infections, Ambisome (3mg/kg) or Caspofungin is suggested until species identification and sensitivity data are available.

Invasive aspergillosis

Voriconazole and lipid formulation amphotericin are the recommended agents for use based on data from clinical studies.

6.10. Dietary advice.

Individuals receiving chemotherapy are at risk from infection from bacteria and fungus in food products. Patient advice information leaflets are available through Leukaemia Research; Dietary advice for patients with neutropenia.

Contact details; Leukaemia Research; info@lrf.org.uk,

Tel; 020 7405 0101

7. Management of special situations

7.1. Hyperleukocytosis

The condition is generally defined as a WBC $>100 \times 10^9/L$. It is associated with higher rates of mortality in induction (Powles et al 2003). Leukostasis symptoms including retinal, cerebral or pulmonary haemorrhage require immediate treatment with chemotherapy. Leukopheresis can be considered but has not demonstrated any improvement in long term survival. Transfusion of packed red cells can lead to increased blood viscosity and should be avoided until wcc is less than 100 (Döhner et al 2010).

7.2. Central nervous system involvement

Leptomeningeal involvement is uncommonly observed in AML (<3%) and therefore lumbar puncture is not required as part of the routine diagnostic work-up. It should

however be performed in certain clinical scenarios where there is concern. Individuals presenting with abnormal focal neurology, headache or confusion a CT/MRI scan should be performed initially to exclude an intracerebral lesion or intracranial haemorrhage with mass effect. If there is no mass effect then lumbar puncture and sampling of the CSF should be performed (microscopy, protein, glucose, cytosin). If the LP demonstrates leptomeningeal involvement then intrathecal chemotherapy should be administered in conjunction with systemic treatment.

Drugs: CYTARABINE- 50mg IT

Regime: Initially three times weekly until blast cells are no longer detected on cytosin and then weekly for 4-6 weeks (Milligan et al 2006).

It is also reasonable to consider a consolidation regime containing HDAC which will cross the blood brain barrier.

If the initial CT scan identifies a mass lesion biopsy or needle aspiration should be considered. If a leukemic deposit is confirmed cranial radiation may be required if systemic and intrathecal chemotherapy is ineffective.

Combination chemo-radiotherapy should be avoided due to the high risk of neurotoxicity.

7.3 Management of extramedullary disease/granulocytic sarcoma.

Extramedullary disease in AML ranges from skin and gum infiltrates most frequently seen in AML of monocytic/monoblastic derivation to the rare tumorous masses (also known as granulocytic sarcomas or chloromas. The commonest sites for extramedullary myeloid tumours include skin, lymph nodes, spine, small intestine, orbit, bone, breast, cervix and nasal sinuses, but many other sites have been described. Patients presenting de novo with extramedullary leukaemia without evidence of marrow disease have in the past been managed with surgical excision or local radiotherapy as primary treatment, but almost all these patients have gone on to develop marrow disease. It is therefore recommended that patients presenting in this fashion should also receive systemic antileukaemic chemotherapy at diagnosis. Surgical or radiotherapeutic approaches may be reserved for those patients whose extramedullary tumours do not completely resolve with initial treatment.

7.4. Pregnancy

AML in pregnancy should be managed jointly between the haematologist and the obstetrician with full involvement of the mother. Chemotherapy in the first trimester is associated with a high risk of fetal malformation and should be avoided if possible. The opportunity to terminate the pregnancy should be discussed with the mother. If termination is refused and the mother's life is at risk, chemotherapy should be started. Chemotherapy in the second and third trimesters is associated with an increased risk of abortion and premature delivery as well as small for dates babies.

Consideration should be given for early induced labour between cycles of chemotherapy.

8. APML

In general the diagnosis is suggested by the presence of the characteristic morphology and there is consensus that the diagnosis should be confirmed at the genetic level. However this should not delay the initiation of supportive measures or differentiation therapy which should be initiated immediately on the day of presentation without delay.

Standard Therapy

For patients who decline trial entry the standard therapy is for ATRA and anthracycline based therapy. The standard defined from AML 15 is the Spanish therapy- with superior survival compared to the MRC approach, in part due to de-escalation of chemotherapy with a reduction in treatment related mortality. Such an approach leads to a 95% complete remission rate (Sanz et al 2004) with primary resistance being an anecdotal occurrence. Comparative trials for the optimal anthracycline have not been done while there appears to be no advantage to adding cytarabine to induction therapy (Burnett blood 2007)

Course 1

Idarubicin (12mg/m² , days 2, 4, 6, 8) and ATRA (45mg/m²/day daily until CR)

Course 2

Idarubicin (7mg/m², daily- days 1-4) and ATRA (45mg/m²/day 15 days)

Course 3

Mitoxantrone (10mg/m², daily- days 1-5) and ATRA (45mg/m²/day 15 days)

Course 4

Idarubicin (12mg/m² 1 dose) and ATRA (45mg/m²/day 15 days)

Consolidation therapy

Historical comparison suggests that ATRA contributes to the reduction in relapse risk observed in the GIMEMA (Lococo et al 2004) and PETHEMA (Sanz et al 2004) group studies. The role of Cytarabine remains controversial and unresolved with numerous studies suggesting a reduction in relapse risk but improved survival has yet to be unequivocally demonstrated. There is no role for stem cell transplantation in first line therapy for patients with APML.

Maintenance therapy

Some patients do benefit from maintenance therapy although this is not being investigated in the current NCRN study. Patients at high risk of relapse (eg presenting wcc >10, those slow to achieve MRD negativity) can be considered for up

to 2 years of Methotrexate, 6-Mercaptopurine and ATRA therapy based therapy from previous studies (Tallman et al 1997, Fenaux et al 1999) which have confirmed additional efficacy.

APML Relapse

Repeated molecular relapse should be treated with Arsenic Trioxide ($As_2O_3=ATO$), 0.30 mg/kg IV over 2 hours daily for 5 days (days 1-5) in week 1, and thereafter 0.25mg/kg IV over 2 hours twice a week for an additional seven weeks.

Consolidation of this remission may be in the form of further Arsenic, autologous or allogeneic transplantation. Approximately 10% of APML haematological relapses involve the CNS (Evans et al 1999) and should therefore be excluded in all relapsed patients.

Genetic variants of APML eg t (11:17)

The nature of the fusion partner of RARA is critical to ATRA sensitivity. Many remain ATRA sensitive and should receive standard therapy. Those which are known to be ATRA resistant are usually treated as AML as sensitivity to ATO is unknown.

Minimal residual disease (MRD) monitoring by RQ-PCR

Acute promyelocytic leukaemia (APL)

The aim of treatment in APL is to achieve molecular negativity by RQ-PCR.

Persistence or recurrence of molecular disease is invariably associated with haematological relapse. There is now strong evidence that intervention at the point of molecular persistence or recurrence is clinically useful as pre-emptive treatment with Arsenic trioxide can result in molecular negativity and prevent reinduction mortality

- In patients treated in AML 17, molecular monitoring is being carried out centrally in Guy's Hospital, London. For non-trial patients (e.g. using Spanish Protocol), we recommend a similar monitoring protocol i.e. MRD assessment in bone marrow after course 2 and subsequent consolidation courses and at 3 monthly intervals during remission for 3 years.

Differentiation Syndrome

This is accurately defined by the presence of unexplained fever, weight gain, respiratory distress, pulmonary infiltrates, pleural and pericardial effusion, renal or cardiac failure with or without hyperleukocytosis – this necessitates immediate initiation of Dexamethasone 10mg iv intravenously 12hrly until disappearance of symptoms. If the syndrome is severe then also discontinuation of the ATRA is recommended.

The standard approach for patients at high risk of differentiation syndrome eg white cell count greater than $10 \times 10^9/l$ is to receive Dexamethasone 10mgs intravenously 12 hourly for the first 5 days of chemotherapy, this is based on an uncontrolled study demonstrating a low morbidity and mortality (Sanz et al 2004).

Coagulopathy

The major cause of treatment failure is induction death due to intracerebral or intrapulmonary haemorrhage, in up to 5% of presenting patients (Tallman leuk res 2005). APTT, fibrinogen and platelet count should be checked at least twice daily during the initial phase of therapy. Correction should be managed as below until all clinical and laboratory signs of the coagulopathy have disappeared. The role of antifibrinolytic agents and heparin is at best questionable.

Coagulation: times should be kept within the normal range using FFP as replacement.

Cryoprecipitate: should be used to maintain fibrinogen levels to approximately 2 grams per litre.

Platelet count: should ideally be maintained greater than 50.

Acknowledgements

Version 1 January 2011 was written by Dr Mike Dennis, Dr David Osborne, Dr Hayley Greenfield and Prof John Yin.

REFERENCES

Appelbaum FR, Gundacker H, Head DR, et al. Age and acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2006;107(9):3481–3485

Bennett JM, Catowski D, Daniel M-T, Flandrin G, Galton DAG & Sultan C, (1985a) Proposed revised criteria for the classification of acute myeloid leukaemia. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 103, 626-629

Bennett JM, Catowski D, Daniel M-T, Flandrin G, Galton DAG & Sultan C, (1985a) Proposals for the recognition of minimally differentiated acute myeloid leukaemia (AML M0) *British Journal of Haematology* 78, 325-329

Bow EJ (2008). Considerations in the approach to invasive fungal infection in patients with haematological malignancies. *British Journal of Haematology*; 140: 133-152.

Bloomfield CD, Lawrence D, Byrd JC, et al. Frequency of prolonged remission duration after high-dose cytarabine intensification in acute myeloid leukemia varies by cytogenetic subtype. *Cancer Res*. 1998;58(18):4173–4179

Burnett AK, Milligan D, Prentice AG, et al. A comparison of low-dose cytarabine and hydroxyurea with or without all-trans retinoic acid for acute myeloid leukemia and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome in patients not considered fit for intensive treatment. *Cancer*. 2007;109(6):1114–1124

Burnett AK, Hills RK, Milligan D, et al. Identification of patients

with acute myeloblastic leukemia who benefit from the addition of gemtuzumab ozogamicin: results of the MRC AML15 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29: 369–77.

Burnett AK, Russell NH, Hills RK, et al. Addition of gemtuzumab ozogamicin to induction chemotherapy improves survival in older patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; 30: 3924–31.

Cairo MS & Bishop M (2004). Tumour lysis syndrome: new therapeutic strategies and classification. *British Journal of Haematology*; 127: 3-11.

Cornelissen JJ, van Putten WLJ, Verdonck LF, et al. Results of a HOVON/SAKK donor versus no-donor analysis of myeloablative HLA-identical sibling stem cell transplantation in first remission acute myeloid leukemia in young and middle-aged adults: benefits for whom? *Blood*. 2007;109(9):3658–3666

Cornely OA, Maertens J, Winston DJ, et al (2007). Posaconazole vs. fluconazole or itraconazole prophylaxis in patients with neutropenia. *New England Journal of Medicine*; 356: 348-359.

Döhner K, Schlenk RF, Habdank M, et al. Mutant nucleophosmin (NPM1) predicts favorable prognosis in younger adults with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: interaction with other gene mutations. *Blood*. 2005;106(12):3740–3746

Döhner H, Estey EH, Amadori S, et al (2010). Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukaemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert panel, on behalf of the European LeukaemiaNet. *Blood*: 115: 453-474.

Dombret H, Chastang C, Fenaux P, et al (1995). A controlled study of recombinant human granulocyte colony stimulating factor in elderly patients after treatment for acute myeloid leukaemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*; 332:1678-1683.

Dombret H, Seymour JF, Butrym A, Wierzbowska A, Selleslag D, Jang JH, Kumar R, Cavenagh J, Schuh AC, Candoni A, Récher C, Sandhu I, Bernal Del Castillo T, Al-Ali HK, Martinelli G, Falantes J, Noppeney R, Stone RM, Minden MD, McIntyre H, Songer S, Lucy LM, Beach CL, Döhner H. International phase 3 study of azacitidine vs conventional care regimens in older patients with newly diagnosed AML with >30% blasts. *Blood*. 2015 May 18.

Evans GD, Grimwade DJ. Extramedullary disease in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 1999 Apr;33(3-4):219-29.

Fernandez HF, Sun Z, Yao X, Litzow MR, Luger SM, Paietta EM, Racevskis J, Dewald GW, Ketterling RP, Bennett JM, Rowe JM, Lazarus HM, Tallman MS. Anthracycline dose intensification in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2009 Sep 24;361(13):1249-59. PubMed PMID: 19776406.

Fenaux P, Chastang C, Chevret S, Sanz M, Dombret H, Archimbaud E, Fey M, Rayon C, Huguet F, Sotto JJ, Gardin C, Makhoul PC, Travade P, Solary E, Fegueux N, Bordessoule D, Miguel JS, Link H, Desablens B, Stamatoullas A, Deconinck E, Maloisel F, Castaigne S, Preudhomme C, Degos L. A randomized comparison of alltransretinoic acid (ATRA) followed by chemotherapy and ATRA plus chemotherapy and the role of maintenance therapy in newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia. The European APL Group. *Blood*. 1999 Aug 15;94(4):1192-200.

Fenaux P, Mufti GJ, Hellstrom-Lindberg E, et al. Efficacy of azacitidine compared with that of conventional care regimens in the treatment of higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes: a randomised, open-label, phase III study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10(3):223–232

Fröhling S, Schlenk RF, Breitruck J, et al. Prognostic significance of activating FLT3 mutations in younger adults (16 to 60 years) with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: a study of the AML Study Group Ulm. *Blood*. 2002;100(13):4372–4380

Fröhling S, Schlenk RF, Stolze I, et al. CEBPA mutations in younger adults with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: prognostic relevance and analysis of cooperating mutations. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004;22(4):624–633

Gafter-Gvili A, Fraser V, Paul M, et al (2005). Meta-analysis: antibiotic prophylaxis reduces mortality in neutropenic patients. *Annals of Internal Medicine*; 142: 979-995.

Gale RE, Green C, Allen C, et al. The impact of FLT3 internal tandem duplication mutant level, number, size and interaction with NPM1 mutations in a large cohort of young adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2008;111(5):2776–2784

Godwin JE, Kopecky KJ, Head DR, et al (1998). A double-blind placebo-controlled trial of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in elderly patients with previously untreated acute myeloid leukaemia: a Southwest Oncology Group Study (9031). *Blood*: 91; 3607-3615.

Goldstone AH, Burnett AK, Wheatley K, Smith AG, Hutchinson RM, Clark RE. Attempts to improve treatment outcomes in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in older patients: the results of the United Kingdom Medical Research Council AML11 trial. *Blood*. 2001;98(5):1302–1311

Grimwade D. The clinical significance of cytogenetic abnormalities in acute myeloid leukaemia (2001) *Best Practice Res Clinical Haematology* 14(3); 497-529

Grimwade D, Walker H, Oliver F, Wheatley K, Harrison C, Harrison G, Rees J, Hann I, Stevens R, Burnett A, Goldstone A (1998) The importance of diagnostic cytogenetics

on outcome in AML: analysis of 1,612 patients entered into the MRC AML 10 trial. *Blood* 92, 2322-2333

Grimwade D Screening for core binding factor gene rearrangements in acute myeloid leukaemia (2002) *Leukaemia* 16(5) 964-969

Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, Walker H, Chatters S, Goldstone AH, Wheatley K, Harrison CJ, Burnett AK; National Cancer Research Institute Adult Leukaemia Working Group. Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. *Blood*. 2010 Jul 22;116(3):354-65.

Harousseau JL, et al (2000). *Journal of Clinical Oncology*; 18: 780-787.

Heckman KD, Weiner GJ, Davis CS, et al (1997). Randomised study of prophylactic platelet transfusion threshold during induction therapy for adult leukaemia: 10,000/ μ L versus 20,000/ μ L. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*; 15: 1143-1149.

Heil G, Hoelzer D, Sanz MA, et al (1997). A randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, phase III study of filgrastim in remission induction and consolidation therapy for adults with de novo acute myeloid leukaemia. *Blood*; 90: 4710-4718.

Herbrecht R, Flückiger U, Gachot B, et al (2007). Treatment of invasive candida and invasive aspergillus infections in adult haematological patients. *European Journal of Cancer*; 5: 49-59.

1. Jaffe ES, Harris NL, Stein H & Vardiman J. (2001) *Tumours of Haemopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues*. World Health Organisation Classification of Tumours. IARC Press, Lyon

Juliusson G, Antunovic P, Derolf Å, et al. Age and acute myeloid leukemia: real world data on decision to treat and outcomes from the Swedish Acute Leukemia Registry. *Blood*. 2009;113(18):4179-4187

Kottaridis PD, Gale RE, Frew ME, et al. The presence of a FLT3 internal tandem duplication in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) adds important prognostic information to cytogenetic risk group and response to the first cycle of chemotherapy: analysis of 854 patients from the United Kingdom Medical Research Council AML 10 and 12 trials. *Blood*. 2001;98(6):1752-1759

Krakoff IH & Meyer RL (1965). Prevention of hyperuricaemia in leukaemia and lymphoma: use of allopurinol, a xanthine oxidase inhibitor. *Journal of the American Medical Association*; 193: 89-94.

Larson RA, Boogaerts M, Estey E, et al (2002). Antibody targeted chemotherapy of older patients with acute myeloid leukaemia in first relapse using Myelotarg (gemtuzumab ozogamicin). *Leukaemia*; 16: 1027-1636.

Leibovici L, Paul M, Cullen M, et al (2006). Antibiotic prophylaxis in neutropenic patients. New evidence, practical decisions. *Cancer*: 107: 1743-1751. Lo Coco F, Avvisati G, Vignetti M, Fioritoni G, Liso V, Ferrara F. Frontline treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with AIDA induction followed by risk-adapted consolidation: results of the AIDA-2000 trial of the Italian GIMEMA group. *Blood* 2004;104:392a

Milligan DW, Grimwade D, Cullis JO, et al (2006). Guidelines on the management of acute myeloid leukaemia in adults. *British Journal of Haematology*; 135: 450-474.

Mrozek K. Cytogenetic, molecular genetic, and clinical characteristics of acute myeloid leukaemia with a complex karyotype (2008) *Semin Oncol* 35(4): 365-377

Mrozek K, Prior TW, Edwards C, (et al) Comparison of cytogenetic and molecular genetic detection of t(8;21) and inv(16) in a prospective series of adults with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study (2001) *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 19(9); 2482-2492

Pagano L, Caira M, Candoni A, et al (2006). The epidemiology of fungal infection in patients with haematological malignancies: the SEIFEM-2004 study. *Haematologica*; 91: 1068-1075.

Powles R, Sirohi B & Kulkarni S (2003). Investigation and management of hyperleukostasis in adults.

Pui CH, Mahmoud HH, Wiley JM, et al (2001). Recombinant urate oxidase for the prophylaxis or treatment of hyperuricaemia in patients with leukaemia or lymphoma. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*; 19: 697-704.

Rebulla P, Finazzi G, Maramgoni F, et al (1997). The threshold for prophylactic platelet transfusions in adults with acute myeloid leukaemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*; 337: 1870-1875.

Robenshtok E, Gafter-Gvili A, Goldberg E, et al (2007). Antifungal prophylaxis in cancer patients after chemotherapy or haematopoietic stem cell transplantation: systemic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*; 25: 5471-5489.

Rowe JM, Anderson JW, Mazza, et al (1995). A randomised placebo-controlled phase III study of granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor in adults (>55-70 years of age) with acute myelogenous leukaemia: a study of the Eastern European Oncology Group (E1490). *Blood*; 86: 457-462.

Sanz MA, Martín G, González M, León A, Rayón C, Rivas C, Colomer D, Amutio E, Capote FJ, Milone GA, De La Serna J, Román J, Barragán E, Bergua J, Escoda L, Parody R, Negri S, Calasanz MJ, Bolufer P; Programa de Estudio y Tratamiento de las Hemopatías Malignas. Risk-adapted treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with

all-trans-retinoic acid and anthracycline monochemotherapy: a multicenter study by the PETHEMA group. *Blood*. 2004 Feb 15;103(4):1237-43.

Schlenk RF, Benner A, Hartmann F, et al. Risk adapted post-remission therapy in acute myeloid leukemia: results of the German Multicenter AML HD93 treatment trial. *Leukemia*. 2003;17(8):1521–1528.

Schlenk RF, Döhner K, Krauter J, et al. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(18):1909–1918

Schmid C, Schleuning M, Schwerdtfeger R, et al. Long-term survival in refractory acute myeloid leukemia after sequential treatment with chemotherapy and reduced-intensity conditioning for allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2006;108(3):1092–1099

Sievers EL, Larson RA, Stadtmauer EA, et al. Efficacy and safety of gemtuzumab ozogamicin in patients with CD33-positive acute myeloid leukemia in first relapse. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001;19(13):3244–3254

Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, (et al)(2008) WHO Classification of Tumours of Haemopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. IARC Press, Lyon

Tallman MS, Andersen JW, Schiffer CA, Appelbaum FR, Feusner JH, Ogden A, Shepherd L, Willman C, Bloomfield CD, Rowe JM, Wiernik PH. All-trans-retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 1997 Oct 9;337(15):1021-8.

Thiede C, Koch S, Creutzig E, et al. Prevalence and prognostic impact of NPM1 mutations in 1485 adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML). *Blood*. 2006;107(10):4011–4020

Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, Brunning RD, Borowitz MJ, Porwit A, Harris NL, Le Beau MM, Hellström-Lindberg E, Tefferi A, Bloomfield CD. (2009) The 2008 revision of the WHO classification of Myeloid Neoplasms and Acute Leukaemia; rationale and important changes. *Blood* 114(5), 937-951

Wheatley K, Goldstone AH, Littlewood T, et al (2009). Randomised placebo-controlled trial of granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) as supportive care after induction chemotherapy in adult patients with acute myeloid leukaemia: a study of the United Kingdom Medical Research Council Adult Leukaemia Working Party. *British Journal of Haematology*; 146: 54-63).

Zumberg MS, del Rosario MLU, Nejane CF, et al (2002). A prospective randomised trial of prophylactic platelet transfusion and bleeding incidence in haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: 10,000/ μ L versus 20,000/ μ L trigger. *Biology of Blood and Bone Marrow Transplantation*; 8: 569-576.

